

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LAUSTEVEION JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
DICUES, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-03045-RFB-NJK

ORDER

[Docket Nos. 67, 68]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery responses, which Plaintiff sent to the Court on June 6, 2021. Docket No. 67. The Court has considered Plaintiff's motion and Defendants' response. Docket Nos. 67, 71. Plaintiff did not file a reply, and the time to do so has now passed. *See* Docket. The motion is properly resolved without a hearing. *See* LR 78-1.

Plaintiff seeks a Court order compelling Defendants to respond to written discovery requests he propounded on April 3, 2021. Docket No. 67 at 1. Plaintiff submits that Defendants failed to respond to any of his discovery requests. *Id*.

In response, Defendants submit that they initially failed to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests because "the propounded discovery had been erroneously miscategorized and, as a result, had not been included on counsel's discovery list for completion." Docket No. 71 at 2. Defendants further submit that, on May 20, 2021, the parties conducted a meet and confer. *Id.* Defendants submit that they then found the discovery and fully responded as of June 16, 2021. *Id.* at 2–3. Defendants, therefore, ask the Court to deny Plaintiff's motion to compel as moot. *Id.* at 4.

Having filed no reply, there is no basis for the Court to find that Defendants have not responded to Plaintiff's discovery requests. *See Garcia v. Dawahare*, 2006 WL 2583745, at *2

1 (D. Nev. Sept. 6, 2006) ("By failing to fil[e] a reply brief, Defendant[s'] arguments and evidence are essentially uncontested"). Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to compel, Docket No. 67, is hereby **DENIED** as moot and without prejudice. Plaintiff's motion requesting oral argument, Docket No. 68, is hereby 5 DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 14, 2021 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge